

LINEAR STATISTICS OF POINT PROCESSES VIA ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

E. RYCKMAN

ABSTRACT. For arbitrary $\beta > 0$, we use the orthogonal polynomials techniques developed in [10, 11] to study certain linear statistics associated with the circular and Jacobi β ensembles. We identify the distribution of these statistics then prove a joint central limit theorem. In the circular case, similar statements have been proved using different methods by a number of authors. In the Jacobi case these results are new.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper we will study two families of random point processes, the circular and Jacobi β ensembles. For $\beta > 0$, the circular β ensemble with n points, $C\beta E(n)$, is the random point process on the unit circle where for any symmetric function f we have

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{E}_n^\beta(f) = \frac{1}{Z_n^\beta} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\eta_1}, \dots, e^{i\eta_n}) |\Delta(e^{i\eta_1}, \dots, e^{i\eta_n})|^\beta \frac{d\eta_1}{2\pi} \cdots \frac{d\eta_n}{2\pi}.$$

Here, Δ is the usual Vandermonde determinant

$$\Delta(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_j - z_i)$$

and the partition function is

$$Z_n^\beta = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n\beta}{2} + 1)}{[\Gamma(\frac{\beta}{2} + 1)]^n}$$

as was shown in [4, 11, 18]. The particular cases $\beta = 1, 2$, and 4 correspond to the classical orthogonal, unitary, and symplectic circular ensembles (COE, CUE, and CSE). In particular, if $\mathbb{U}(n)$ is the set of $n \times n$ unitary matrices and $U \in \mathbb{U}(n)$ is chosen uniformly with respect to Haar measure, then its eigenvalues are a sample from $C(\beta = 2)E$. It is also known that the above measure is the Gibbs measure for an n -partical Coulomb gas at inverse temperature β , but we will not pursue this viewpoint here. For more background see, for instance, [12].

The second point process we consider is the Jacobi β ensemble of n points, $J\beta E_{a,b}(n)$. Here, the points $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are confined to live on $[-2, 2]$ with joint probability density proportional to

$$(1.2) \quad |\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^\beta \prod_j (2 - x_j)^a (2 + x_j)^b dx_1 \cdots dx_n$$

Key words and phrases. Point Processes, Random Matrices, Orthogonal Polynomials.

where $\beta > 0$ and $a, b > -1$. The specific case $\beta = 2, a = b = -1/2$ corresponds to (half) the eigenvalues of a matrix chosen randomly from $\text{SO}(2n)$, see [11]. In particular, any statement about $J\beta E$ yields a corresponding statement about $\text{SO}(2n)$, and we will not formulate these results separately.

Given a sample of points $\{e^{i\eta_1}, \dots, e^{i\eta_n}\}$ from $C\beta E$, we will consider the statistic $\prod(1 - e^{-i(\theta - \eta_k)})$. Note that if these points are the eigenvalues of some unitary matrix U , then the above statistic is just the characteristic polynomial $\det(1 - e^{-i\theta}U)$. In the Jacobi case we will instead consider $\prod(E - x_k)$. Our first result is to identify the distribution of these statistics. For this, recall that X is Beta-distributed on $[0, 1]$ with parameters s and t , written $X \sim B_{[0,1]}(s, t)$, if it has the density function

$$\frac{\Gamma(s+t)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)} x^{s-1} (1-x)^{t-1} dx.$$

Similarly we will write $X \sim B_{[-1,1]}(s, t)$ if the corresponding density function is

$$\frac{2^{1-s-t}\Gamma(s+t)}{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)} (1-x)^{s-1} (1+x)^{t-1} dx.$$

Note that in this case $\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{t-s}{t+s}$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \frac{(t-s)^2 + (t+s)}{(t+s)(t+s+1)}$.

Theorem 1.1. *We have the following statements concerning distributions:*

(CβE): Let $\beta > 0$ be arbitrary and say $e^{i\eta_1}, \dots, e^{i\eta_n}$ be a sample from $C\beta E$. Then for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - e^{-i(\theta - \eta_k)}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + e^{i\theta_k} \sqrt{X_{k-1}})$$

where θ_i, X_j are all independent, each θ_k is uniformly distributed on S^1 and $X_j \sim B_{[0,1]}(1, \frac{j\beta}{2})$.

(JβE): Let $\beta > 0$ be arbitrary and say $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [-2, 2]$ are a sample from $J\beta E_{a,b}$. Then

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (\pm 2 - x_k) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} 2 \prod_{k=0}^{2n-2} (1 - (\pm 1)^{k+1} X_k)$$

where the X_k 's are all independent and distributed as

$$X_k \sim \begin{cases} B_{[-1,1]} \left(\frac{k}{4}\beta + a + 1, \frac{k}{4}\beta + b + 1 \right), & k \text{ even} \\ B_{[-1,1]} \left(\frac{k-1}{4}\beta + a + b + 2, \frac{k+1}{4}\beta \right), & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.2. *In the $C\beta E$ case, rotation invariance shows that the distribution of $\prod(1 - e^{-i(\theta - \eta_k)})$ is independent of θ . On the real line no such invariance is present, and we have been unable to find a simple expression of $\prod(E - x_k)$ for $E \neq \pm 2$. (However, see Proposition 6.1 of [11], where the expectation for general E is expressed in terms of Jacobi polynomials.)*

Our second main result concerns the limiting behavior of our statistics as n tends to infinity.

Theorem 1.3. *The following asymptotic joint laws hold:*

(CβE): Let $\beta > 0$ be arbitrary, $e^{i\eta_1}, \dots, e^{i\eta_n}$ be a sample from $C\beta E$, and $Z_n(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \log(1 - e^{-i(\theta - \eta_k)})$. Then for any distinct $e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_M}$ in S^1 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the joint law of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \left(Z_n(\theta_1), \dots, Z_n(\theta_M) \right)$$

converges to the joint law of M independent complex normal random variables with mean zero and variance $1/\beta$.

(JβE): Let $\beta > 0$ be arbitrary, $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be a sample from $J\beta E_{a,b}$, and $Z_n(E) = \sum_{k=1}^n \log(E - x_k)$. Then for any distinct $e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_M}$ in S^1 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the joint law of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \left(Z_n(2 \cos \theta_1) - E_n(\theta_1), \dots, Z_n(2 \cos \theta_M) - E_n(\theta_M) \right)$$

converges to the joint law of M independent complex normal random variables with mean zero and variance $1/\beta$, where

$$E_n(\theta) = (C_0 \delta_0(\theta) + C_\pi \delta_\pi(\theta)) \log n - i n \theta$$

$$(1.3) \quad C_0 = \frac{2a+1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \quad C_\pi = \frac{2b+1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}$$

and δ_θ is a Dirac measure at θ .

Remark 1.4. *While the appearance of delta measures at the edge of the interval may strike some readers as odd, it is not unprecedented in this context. See, for instance, formula (3.54) in [6].*

Other probabilistic statements are certainly possible. For instance, a straightforward adaptation of the arguments in [1] yields iterated logarithm laws for the various ensembles considered above. We do not pursue this point further.

In the circular case, results of this type are not new and go back at least to the papers [7, 8] of Keating and Snaith. Motivated by connections to analytic number theory, they studied the distribution of $Z_n(\theta)$ when U is chosen from $C\beta E$, $SO(2n)$, or $USp(2n)$. Using the Mellin-Fourier transform they identified the distribution of Z_n by calculating the moment generating function averaged over the relevant collection of matrices. From this one may readily deduce a version of Theorem 1.3 (but only in the circular case, and only in the one-point case $M = 1$).

Motivated in part by this work, Bourgade et al. [1, 2] used probabilistic methods to study $U(n)$ and $SO(2n)$. They proved the $C\beta E$ case of Theorem 1.1, and from this characterization they were able to recover the (one-point) central limit theorem of Keating and Snaith, as well as develop some new results concerning the speed of convergence (in particular the iterated logarithm law mentioned earlier).

The question of joint asymptotic behavior was first addressed by Hughes, Keating, and O'Connell in [5], where they used Szegő-type limit theorems to deduce asymptotic properties of the joint law. In particular they proved the circular case of Theorem 1.3 and also deduced a variety of large-deviation results. (We should note here that while the above papers only considered $C\beta E$ for the particular values $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, their calculations easily extend to handle all $\beta > 0$.)

All the previously-existing results discussed so far pertain only to the circular case. There are relatively fewer results concerning point processes on the real line. We mention [3] wherein the moment generating function for the real part of the log of the characteristic polynomial is expressed for many ensembles (including the circular and Jacobi ensembles) in terms of Jack polynomials and generalized hypergeometric functions. As far as we can tell though, the orthogonal polynomials technique—and resulting joint central limit theorem for the Jacobi case that we establish—do not appear anywhere in the current literature.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 collects some basic results we will need concerning orthogonal polynomials. We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 and Theorem 1.3 in Section 4.

It is a pleasure to thank Rowan Killip for many helpful conversations during the preparation of this work, as well as the referee for relevant references to the literature.

2. ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS BACKGROUND

Throughout this paper we will make use of some results from the theory of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle and real line, or put another way, the study of CMV and Jacobi matrices. In this section we collect the results we will need without proof (for a more detailed discussion see [13, 14] and [16]).

Let $d\mu$ be a probability measure on S^1 that consists of n point-masses. The monomials $1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}$ form a basis for $L^2(d\mu)$, so by applying the Gram-Schmidt procedure we arrive at an orthogonal basis of monic polynomials $\Phi_k(z) = z^k + \dots$, $k = 0, \dots, n-1$. Define the reversed polynomials by

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_k^*(z) = z^k \overline{\Phi_k(1/\bar{z})}.$$

The first important fact is that these polynomials obey the Szegő recurrence equation:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{k+1}(z) &= z\Phi_k(z) - \overline{\alpha_k}\Phi_k^*(z) \\ \Phi_{k+1}^*(z) &= \Phi_k^*(z) - z\alpha_k\Phi_k(z) \end{aligned}$$

for some sequence α_k , termed the Verblunsky coefficients associated to $d\mu$. It is easy to see that for $k < n-1$, $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{D}$ (= the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$) and $\alpha_{n-1} \in S^1$.

Given a sequence of Verblunsky coefficients let $\rho_k = \sqrt{1 - |\alpha_k|^2}$ and define

$$\Xi_k = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\alpha_k} & \rho_k \\ \rho_k & -\alpha_k \end{bmatrix}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-2$, and $\Xi_{-1} = [1]$, $\Xi_{n-1} = [\overline{\alpha_{n-1}}]$. From these form the $n \times n$ block-diagonal matrices

$$L_n = \text{diag}(\Xi_0, \Xi_2, \Xi_4, \dots) \quad M_n = \text{diag}(\Xi_{-1}, \Xi_1, \Xi_3, \dots)$$

and then the CMV matrices

$$(2.3) \quad C_n = L_n M_n.$$

Remark 2.1. *We now have four pieces of data: the measure $d\mu$, the orthogonal polynomials Φ_k , the Verblunsky coefficients α_k , and the CMV matrix C_n . It is known that any one of these sets uniquely determines the others. So, for instance, we may speak of the orthogonal polynomials associated to a set of Verblunsky coefficients, and we will do so throughout this paper.*

The eigenvalues of CMV matrices will provide the link between orthogonal polynomials and point processes. The first step is:

Proposition 2.2. $\Phi_n(z) = \det(z - C_n)$ and $\Phi_n^*(z) = \det(1 - z\overline{C_n})$ where $(\overline{C})_{ij} = \overline{C_{ij}}$. In particular, $\det(1 - C_n) = \Phi_n(1) = \Phi_n^*(1)$.

We now add some randomness to the CMV construction. If $\nu > 1$ we will say that a complex-valued random variable Z with values in $\mathbb{D}$ is Θ_ν -distributed if its density function is

$$\frac{\nu - 1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^{(\nu-3)/2} \frac{d^2 z}{\pi}.$$

We will say $Z \sim \Theta_1$ if Z is uniformly-distributed on S^1 . With this notation we have:

Theorem 2.3 ([11]). *Let $\beta > 0$ and let $\alpha_k \sim \Theta_{\beta k+1}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ be independent. Then the CMV matrices C_n give a matrix model for $C\beta E$. That is, their eigenvalues are distributed according to the circular ensemble (1.1).*

We now move to the real line as follows: given a measure $d\mu$ on the unit circle with $d\mu(\overline{z}) = d\mu(z)$, define a measure $d\nu$ on $[-2, 2]$ by

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(2 \cos \theta) d\mu(\theta) = \int_{-2}^2 f(x) d\nu(x).$$

As before, Gram-Schmidt yields a sequence $P_k(x) = x^k + \dots$ of monic orthogonal polynomials, and then normalized polynomials $p_k = \frac{P_k}{\|P_k\|}$. These obey a 3-term recurrence equation

$$xp_k(x) = a_{k+1}p_{k+1}(x) + b_{k+1}p_k(x) + a_k p_{k-1}(x)$$

for some sequences $a_k > 0$, $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$. From these sequences we build the (cut-off) Jacobi matrix

$$J_n = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & & & \\ a_1 & b_2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & a_{n-1} & \\ & & a_{n-1} & b_n & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again we have four pieces of data (measure, polynomials, recurrence coefficients, matrix), and each uniquely determines the others.

It is a famous observation of Szegő [15] that the orthogonal polynomials for $d\nu$ and $d\mu$ are related

$$(2.4) \quad P_k(z + z^{-1}) = \frac{z^{-k}\Phi_{2k}(z) + z^k\Phi_{2k}(z^{-1})}{1 - \alpha_{2k-1}}$$

and as before we have a relation between J_n and the orthogonal polynomials:

Proposition 2.4. $\det(x - J_n) = P_n(x)$ and $\det(\pm 2 - J_n) = \frac{2}{1 - \alpha_{2n-1}} \Phi_{2n}(\pm 1)$.

Again we introduce some randomness:

Theorem 2.5 ([11]). *Let α_k , $0 \leq k \leq 2(n-1)$ be independent and distributed according to*

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha_k \sim \begin{cases} B_{[-1,1]} \left(\frac{k}{4}\beta + a + 1, \frac{k}{4}\beta + b + 1 \right), & k \text{ even} \\ B_{[-1,1]} \left(\frac{k-1}{4}\beta + a + b + 2, \frac{k+1}{4}\beta \right), & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\alpha_{2n-1} = \alpha_{-1} = -1$, and define

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{k+1}^2 &= (1 - \alpha_{2k-1})(1 - \alpha_{2k}^2)(1 + \alpha_{2k+1}) \\ b_{k+1} &= (1 - \alpha_{2k-1})\alpha_{2k} - (1 + \alpha_{2k-1})\alpha_{2k-2} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then the Jacobi matrices J_n give a matrix model for $J\beta E_{a,b}$. That is, the eigenvalues of J_n are distributed according to the Jacobi ensemble (1.2).

One final remark: strictly speaking, Theorems 2.3 and 2.5 differ from those appearing in [11] by a relabeling of the α 's. That this does not change the above statements is the content of Proposition B.2 in [11], and the rotation-invariance of the Θ -distribution. We chose this presentation merely to simplify our formulas.

3. DISTRIBUTION RESULTS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Let us begin with $C\beta E$. By the rotation-invariance of (1.1), the distribution of $\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - e^{-i(\theta - \eta_k)})$ is independent of θ , so without loss we let $\theta = 0$. In this case $\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - e^{i\eta_k}) = \Phi_n(1)$ by Proposition 2.2. We will now relate $\Phi_n(1)$ to the Verblunsky coefficients, and then the Verblunsky coefficients to the random variables appearing in the theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Let the α 's be distributed as in Theorem 2.3, and let Φ_k be the associated orthogonal polynomials. Then*

$$\Phi_n(1) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 + \alpha_k).$$

Proof. From the definition of the reversed polynomials, $\Phi_k^*(1) = \overline{\Phi_k(1)}$ for all k . Using this and Szegő recursion we get

$$\Phi_n(1) = \Phi_{n-1}(1) - \overline{\alpha_{n-1}}\Phi_{n-1}^*(1) = \Phi_{n-1}(1) - \overline{\alpha_{n-1}}\overline{\Phi_{n-1}(1)}.$$

As the distribution of the α 's is rotationally symmetric, this is equal in law to $\Phi_{n-1}(1)(1 + \alpha_{n-1})$. The result now follows by iteration. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let the α 's be distributed as in Theorem 2.3. Then*

$$\alpha_k \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} e^{i\theta} \sqrt{X_k}$$

where θ and X_k are all independent, θ is uniformly distributed on $[0, 2\pi)$ and $X_k \sim B_{[0,1]}(1, \frac{\beta k}{2})$.

Proof. X_k has as its density function

$$\frac{\beta k}{2} (1-x)^{\frac{\beta k}{2}-1} \chi_{[0,1]}(x) dx.$$

By Theorem 2.3, α_k has as its density function

$$\frac{\beta k + 1 - 1}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\beta k + 1 - 3}{2}} \chi_{\mathbb{D}}(z) \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} = \frac{\beta k}{2}(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\beta k}{2} - 1} \chi_{\mathbb{D}}(z) \frac{d^2 z}{\pi}.$$

This is rotationally-symmetric, hence the $e^{i\theta}$ term. The square root comes from $|z|^2$ vs x . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 ($C\beta E$). By Theorem 2.3 it suffices to consider only eigenvalues of CMV matrices. In this case the result follows from Proposition 2.2 and Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. $\square$

We now turn to the $J\beta E$ part of Theorem 1.1. Essentially the same proof as that of Lemma 3.1 shows:

Lemma 3.3. *If the α 's are distributed as in Theorem 2.5, then*

$$\Phi_{2n}(\pm 1) = 2 \prod_{k=0}^{2n-2} (1 - (\pm 1)^{k+1} \alpha_k).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 ($J\beta E_{a,b}$). Combine Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.5, and Lemma 3.3. $\square$

4. JOINT LAWS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. In both the circular and Jacobi cases the result follows from some basic estimates and a version of the central limit theorem for martingales (see Proposition 4.2 below). We begin by setting up some notation. Let $z = e^{i\theta}$ and

$$B_k(z) = z \frac{\Phi_k(z)}{\Phi_k^*(z)} = e^{i\psi_k(\theta)}$$

where the random continuous functions ψ_k are defined recursively by

$$\psi_0(\theta) = 0 \quad \psi_{k+1}(\theta) = \psi_k(\theta) + \theta - 2 \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k)$$

$$\Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) = \log(1 - \alpha_k e^{i\psi_k(\theta)}).$$

In particular notice that

$$\psi_k(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k(\pi) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \text{ odd} \\ \pi, & k \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Next define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi, \alpha) &= \begin{cases} -[\alpha - \mathbb{E}(\alpha)]e^{i\psi}, & \text{Jacobi} \\ -\alpha e^{i\psi}, & \text{Circular} \end{cases} \\ T(n, \theta) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) & \tilde{T}(n, \theta) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) \\ S(n, \theta) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) & \tilde{S}(n, \theta) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let the α 's be distributed as in Theorem 2.3 (the circular case). Then*

$$\operatorname{Re} Z_n(\theta) = T(n, \theta) \quad \operatorname{Im} Z_n(\theta) = S(n, \theta).$$

If instead the α 's are distributed as in Theorem 2.5 (the Jacobi case), then

$$\operatorname{Re} Z_n(2 \cos \theta) = T(2n, \theta) \quad \operatorname{Im} Z_n(2 \cos \theta) = S(2n, \theta) - in\theta.$$

Proof. We first consider the $C\beta E$ case. From Szegő recursion (2.2) we find

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi_{n+1}^*(z) = \Phi_n^*(z)(1 - \alpha_n B_n(z)) = \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - \alpha_k B_k(z)).$$

So by Proposition 2.2, $\operatorname{Im} Z_n(\theta) = \operatorname{Im} \log \Phi_n^*(\theta) = S(n, \theta)$ and similarly $\operatorname{Re} Z_n(\theta) = T(n, \theta)$.

Now consider the $J\beta E$ case. From the relation (2.4) we have

$$(1 - \alpha_{2n-1})P_n(2 \cos \theta) = e^{-in\theta} \Phi_{2n}^*(\theta) \left(1 + \frac{\Phi_{2n}(\theta)}{\Phi_{2n}^*(\theta)} \right).$$

From Szegő recursion (2.2) and the fact that $\alpha_{2n-1} = -1$ we get $\Phi_{2n}(\theta) = \Phi_{2n}^*(\theta)$, so by (4.1) the above equation becomes

$$P_n(2 \cos \theta) = e^{-in\theta} \Phi_{2n}^*(\theta) = e^{-in\theta} \prod_{k=0}^{2n-1} (1 - \alpha_k e^{i\psi_k(\theta)}).$$

So by Proposition 2.4 we have

$$Z_n(2 \cos \theta) = \log P_n(2 \cos \theta) = -in\theta + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \log(1 - \alpha_k e^{i\psi_k(\theta)})$$

as claimed. $\square$

We now turn to the asymptotic behavior of S and T , where our analysis will follow that of [9] quite closely. By the recursive definition of ψ_k and that $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\Upsilon}) = 0$, we see that $\tilde{S}(n, \theta)$ and $\tilde{T}(n, \theta)$ are martingales with respect to the sigma algebras

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \sigma(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}).$$

This will allow us to use the following version of the central limit theorem (one should think of Ψ as essentially the real or imaginary part of Υ):

Proposition 4.2. *Fix $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_M \in [0, 2\pi)$ distinct and let $\tilde{\Psi}(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k)$ be a martingale with respect to $\mathcal{M}_k$. Suppose that there is $\Psi(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k)$ so that as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\Psi}(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k) \tilde{\Psi}(\psi_k(\theta_l), \alpha_k) | \mathcal{M}_k) \xrightarrow{L^1} \sigma^2 \delta_{jl}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{\log^2 n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(|\tilde{\Psi}(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k)|^4) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Psi(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k) - \tilde{\Psi}(\psi_k(\theta_l), \alpha_k) \right| \right) \rightarrow 0$$

for all $1 \leq j, l \leq M$. Then the random variables

$$R(n, \theta_j) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Psi(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k)}{\sqrt{\log n}}$$

converge to independent normal random variables with mean zero and variance σ^2 .

This is proved in [9]. Basically, the first two conditions allow one to apply the usual Martingale Central Limit Theorem ([17] Chapter 6) to

$$\tilde{R}(n, \theta_j) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\Psi}(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k)$$

while the last condition relates convergence of R to that of $\tilde{R}$.

In the $C\beta E$ case we'll apply this result to the real and imaginary parts of Υ and $\tilde{\Upsilon}$, then make an additional argument to show that these are asymptotically independent. The $J\beta E$ case is essentially the same, but slightly more involved at the edges of the interval $[-2, 2]$. The next two lemmas summarize the estimates we'll need to verify conditions (4.2)–(4.4).

Lemma 4.3 ($C\beta E$). *Suppose $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \sim \Theta_\nu$. Let $\tilde{\chi}$ be either $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}$ or $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}$ and let χ be the same but without the tilde. Then*

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbb{E}(\tilde{\chi}(\psi, \alpha) \tilde{\chi}(\phi, \alpha)) = \frac{2 \cos(\psi - \phi)}{\nu + 1}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbb{E}(|\tilde{\chi}(\psi, \alpha)|^4) \lesssim \frac{1}{\nu^2}$$

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbb{E}(|\chi(\psi, \alpha) - \tilde{\chi}(\psi, \alpha)|) \lesssim \frac{1}{\nu^2}.$$

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi, \alpha) \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\phi, \alpha)) = \frac{\sin(\phi - \psi)}{2(\nu + 1)}$$

where all the implicit constants are independent of α, ν, ψ, ϕ .

Proof. Consider (4.8). Using the definition of Θ_ν we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi, \alpha) \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\phi, \alpha)) &= \frac{\nu - 1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Re}(ze^{i\psi}) \operatorname{Im}(ze^{i\phi}) (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\nu-3}{2}} \frac{d^2 z}{\pi} \\ &= \frac{\nu - 1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 r^3 \cos(\theta + \psi) \sin(\theta + \phi) (1 - r^2)^{\frac{\nu-3}{2}} dr \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\nu + 1)} \sin(\phi - \psi). \end{aligned}$$

All the other proofs are similar and we do not present them. (For the reader seeking more details, see [9] where the case $\tilde{\chi} = \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}$, $\chi = \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon$ appears as Lemma 2.5. The proofs for the real parts are exactly the same.) $\square$

Lemma 4.4 ($J\beta E$). *Suppose $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \sim B_{[-1,1]}(s, t)$. Then*

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi, \alpha) \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\phi, \alpha)) = \frac{2st}{(s+t)^2(s+t+1)} (\cos(\psi - \phi) + \cos(\psi + \phi))$$

$$(4.10) \quad \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi, \alpha) \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\phi, \alpha)) = \frac{2st}{(s+t)^2(s+t+1)} (\cos(\psi - \phi) - \cos(\psi + \phi))$$

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi, \alpha) \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\phi, \alpha)) = \frac{2st}{(s+t)^2(s+t+1)} (\sin(\psi + \phi) - \sin(\psi - \phi)).$$

If the α 's are distributed as in Theorem 2.5, and $\tilde{\chi}$ is either of $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}$ or $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}$, then

$$(4.12) \quad \mathbb{E}(|\tilde{\chi}(\psi, \alpha_k)|^4) \lesssim \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$$

$$(4.13) \quad \mathbb{E}(|\tilde{\chi}(\psi, \alpha_k)|) \lesssim \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1/2}}.$$

Finally if $\theta \neq 0, \pi$ then

$$(4.14) \quad \mathbb{E}(|\operatorname{Re} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) + \alpha_k \cos(\psi_k(\theta)) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 \cos(2\psi_k(\theta))|) \lesssim \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$$

while for all ψ

$$(4.15) \quad \mathbb{E}(|\operatorname{Im} \Upsilon(\psi, \alpha_k) + \alpha_k \sin(\psi) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 \sin(2\psi)|) \lesssim \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}.$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward adaptation of that just presented for the circular case, so we do not present the details (but see Lemma 2.6 in [9], which presents the proof for the imaginary parts). $\square$

We will need one more result:

Lemma 4.5. *Given real-valued sequences ε_k, X_k, Y_k with $X_{k+1} = X_k + \delta + Y_k$ for some $\delta \in (0, 2\pi)$,*

$$\left| \sum \varepsilon_k e^{iX_k} \right| \leq \frac{2\|\varepsilon_k\|_{\ell^\infty} + \|\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k-1}\|_{\ell^1} + \|\varepsilon_k Y_k\|_{\ell^1}}{|1 - e^{i\delta}|}.$$

Proof. This appears as Lemma 2.7 in [9] and is proved there (it is essentially just summation by parts). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We begin with $C\beta E$. The first step is to show that (4.2)–(4.4) are satisfied for $\tilde{\Psi} = \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}$ or $\tilde{\Psi} = \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}$. Since the proofs are identical we only present the case $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}$ (one can find the proof for the imaginary parts in [9]).

(4.2): Using (4.5) can rewrite the left-hand side of (4.2) as

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\beta k + 2} \cos(\psi_k(\theta_j) - \psi_k(\theta_l))$$

which immediately settles the case $j = l$ with $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{\beta}$. The case $j \neq l$ follows from Lemma 4.5 with

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &= \psi_k(\theta_j) - \psi_k(\theta_l) & Y_k &= -2 \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k) + 2 \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta_l), \alpha_k) \\ \varepsilon_k &= \frac{1}{\beta k + 2} & \delta &= \theta_j - \theta_l. \end{aligned}$$

(4.3): This follows directly from (4.6).

(4.4): Using $\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \Upsilon) = \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}) = 0$ and (4.7) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\log n} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) - \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) \right|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left(|\operatorname{Re} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k) - \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta), \alpha_k)|^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (4.4) then follows from Cauchy-Schwarz.

Now that (4.2)–(4.4) are verified, Proposition 4.2 shows that the random variables $T(n, \theta_1), \dots, T(n, \theta_j)$ converge to independent normal random variables with mean zero and variance $1/\beta$. A similar statement holds with S replacing T . To show that the limits of the T 's are independent of the limits of the S 's (and thus $T + iS$ converge to independent complex normals), we must show that the potential contributions from the cross-terms $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k) \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta_l), \alpha_k)$ vanish asymptotically for all j, l . That is, we must show

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k) \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\theta_l), \alpha_k) | \mathcal{M}_k) \xrightarrow{L^1} 0.$$

By (4.8) we see the left-hand side above is (up to an irrelevant constant)

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\sin(\psi_k(\theta_l) - \psi_k(\theta_j))}{k+1}.$$

This already settles the case $j = l$. The case $j \neq l$ follows from Lemma 4.5 with

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &= \psi_k(\theta_j) - \psi_k(\theta_l) & Y_k &= -2 \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta_j), \alpha_k) + 2 \operatorname{Im} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\theta_l), \alpha_k) \\ \varepsilon_k &= \frac{1}{k+1} & \delta &= \theta_j - \theta_l. \end{aligned}$$

By the comments in the previous paragraph this finishes the proof for $C\beta E$.

We now turn to $J\beta E$. By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$Z_n(2 \cos \theta) - E_n(\theta) = [T(2n, \theta) - (C_0 \delta_0(\theta) + C_\pi \delta_\pi(\theta)) \log n] + iS(2n, \theta).$$

Again we must verify the conditions (4.2)–(4.4) hold, and show asymptotic independence of the real and imaginary parts. The arguments for (4.2) and (4.3) are a straightforward adaptation of the proof just presented, but now using (4.9)–(4.15). Similarly, the proof of asymptotic independence follows that given in the $C\beta E$ case and uses (4.11). We omit these easy calculations. This leaves us to check condition (4.4), which we will only prove for the real part (the proof of the imaginary part is easier, and can also be found in [9]).

First suppose that $\theta \neq 0, \pi$. In this case we use (4.14) to rewrite the left-hand side of (4.4) as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_k) \cos(\psi_k(\theta)) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_k^2 \cos(2\psi_k(\theta)) \right| \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}}\right).$$

From Lemma 4.5 with $\delta = \theta$, $X_k = \psi_k$, $\varepsilon_k = \mathbb{E}(\alpha_k)$ we find

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_k) \cos(\psi_k(\theta)) \right| \right) = O(1)$$

and similarly

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_k^2) \cos(2\psi_k(\theta)) \right| \right) = O(1).$$

The desired result then follows from combining these two estimates with Cauchy-Schwarz and the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} (\alpha_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(\alpha_k^2)) \cos(2\psi_k(\theta)) \right|^2 \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{E} \left((\alpha_k^2 - \mathbb{E}(\alpha_k^2))^2 \cos^2(2\psi_k(\theta)) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_k^4) \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

(which follows easily from (2.5) and basic properties of the Beta distribution).

We now turn to the cases $\theta = 0, \pi$. Here we will need the following asymptotics (which again are easily verified using (2.5) and basic properties of the Beta distribution):

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k+1}) - \frac{\beta - 2(a+b+2)}{2\beta} \log n \right| + \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k}) - \frac{b-a}{\beta} \log n \right| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k+1}^2) - \frac{1}{\beta} \log n \right| + \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k}^2) - \frac{1}{\beta} \log n \right| \right) \log^2 n = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \operatorname{Re} \Upsilon(\psi_k(\frac{0}{\pi}), \alpha_k) - \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\psi_k(\frac{0}{\pi}), \alpha_k) - C_{\frac{0}{\pi}} \log n \right| \right) = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{2k+1}^2 + \alpha_{2k}^2) + 2(\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k+1}) \pm \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k})) + 2C_{\frac{0}{\pi}} \log n \right| \right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We must verify that this is $o(\sqrt{\log n})$. If we expand

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_{2k+1}^2 + \alpha_{2k}^2) + 2(\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k+1}) \pm \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{2k})) + 2C_{\frac{0}{\pi}} \log n \right|^2 \right).$$

in powers of C and use (4.17), we find it has the form

$$(4C^2 + 4CR + R^2) \log^2 n + o(\log n)$$

where $R = \frac{\beta - 2[2 + (b+a) \pm (b-a)]}{\beta}$. With the choice of $C_{\frac{0}{\pi}}$ given in (1.3) this is $o(\log n)$, and the final result follows by Cauchy-Schwarz. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bourgade, C.P. Hughes, A. Nikeghbali, and M. Yor. The characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix: a probabilistic approach. (arXiv:0706.0333v1 [math.PR]).
- [2] P. Bourgade, A. Nikeghbali, and A. Rouault. The characteristic polynomial on compact groups with Haar measure : some equalities in law. (arXiv:0706.3057v1 [math.PR]).
- [3] P. J. Forrester and J. P. Keating. Singularity dominated strong fluctuations for some random matrix averages. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 250(1):119–131, 2004.
- [4] I. J. Good. Short proof of a conjecture by Dyson. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 11:1884, 1970.
- [5] C. P. Hughes, J. P. Keating, and Neil O’Connell. On the characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 220(2):429–451, 2001.
- [6] Kurt Johansson. On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices. *Duke Math. J.*, 91(1):151–204, 1998.
- [7] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith. Random matrix theory and L -functions at $s = 1/2$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 214(1):91–110, 2000.
- [8] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith. Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 214(1):57–89, 2000.
- [9] Rowan Killip. Gaussian fluctuations for β ensembles. (arXiv:math/0703140v1 [math.PR]).
- [10] Rowan Killip and Irina Nenciu. Cmv: the unitary analogue of Jacobi matrices. (arXiv:math/0508113v1 [math.SG]).
- [11] Rowan Killip and Irina Nenciu. Matrix models for circular ensembles. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (50):2665–2701, 2004. MR2127367.
- [12] Madan Lal Mehta. *Random matrices*, volume 142 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, third edition, 2004.
- [13] Barry Simon. *Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Part 1*, volume 54 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR2105088.
- [14] Barry Simon. *Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Part 2*, volume 54 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR2105089.
- [15] Gábor Szegő. *Orthogonal polynomials*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., fourth edition, 1975. MR0372517.
- [16] Gerald Teschl. *Jacobi operators and completely integrable nonlinear lattices*, volume 72 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR1711536.
- [17] S. R. S. Varadhan. *Probability theory*, volume 7 of *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 2001.
- [18] Kenneth G. Wilson. Proof of a conjecture by Dyson. *J. Mathematical Phys.*, 3:1040–1043, 1962.

253-37 MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA CA 91125, USA
E-mail address: erylckman@caltech.edu